

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,723	07/17/2003	Bernd Bienek	112740-846	3883
7590 10/05/2005		EXAMINER		
Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLC			SHINGLETON, MICHAEL B	
P.O. Box 1135	•			
Chicago, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2017	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	400		
		10/622,723	BIENEK			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael B. Shingleton	2817			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addi	ress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for , cause the application to become ABANDO	ON.  In timely filed  The mailing date of this companies (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status						
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 S</u>	eptember 2005.				
2a)	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	, , , , ,				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	• •		
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached On	ice Action or form PTC	J-15∠.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	· ·	<del></del>			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		eived in this National S	tage		
* 9	application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ived			
·	see the attached detailed Office detail for a list	or the defining dopies not rece	ived.			
Attachmen	at(s)	_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai				
3) 🔲 Infor	ration Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-	152)		

Art Unit: 2817

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1-4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Budnik 6,043,707 (Budnik) of record.

Figures 3, 5 and 8 and the relevant text of Budnik of record disclose a method for operating a transmission amplifier 6. Note that the amplifier of Budnik is a power amplifier and is applied to the antenna that is unmarked in Figure 8. Should a "considerable change in operating parameters occur" i.e. the envelope amplitude changes from high to low, then the amplifier 6 is "feed" with a bias supply voltage that is of such a high magnitude during low envelope amplitudes that the "amplifier is operating in a traditional linear mode" (See the paragraph bridging columns 6 and 7). Should the envelope amplitude changes from low to high, then the bias supply voltage is changed to a "non-linear class of operation" (See column 7, around line 25). As is well known this means that the bias supply voltage is reduced. The predistortion unit 1 with its associated feedback from the transmission amplifier and the feedback that is applied to the elements like 2 and 3, clearly compensates for data values in the input data stream so as to predistort the input signal so as to make the amplifier's response more linear. As is clear from the text noted above the "measurement values for the quality of compensation" i.e. where the envelope magnitude is low or high is used on a continuous basis to control the bias supply voltage. Note the feedback as noted above feedbacks the output of the amplifier 6 and must form a comparison, difference values so as to determine whether or not the envelope magnitude is low or high. The unmarked element "driver" forms an adaptive regulator that passes the measurement values so that the bias voltage can be changed as noted above. Note that difference values between the data values, i.e. these signals are feedback via path 209 and are used as measurement values. With respect to the newly added limitations. This feedback path is part of a predistortion that is "adapative" i.e. the quality of the predistortion factors is changed or adapted (See column 6, around line 34). Thus when operating at a linear mode little changes are made by the predistortion unit 1 since the sensing of the output of the amplifier indicates a linear operation. Whereas when operating in a non-linear mode, the feedback path would indicate such and the predistortion unit would adapt accordingly.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,723

Art Unit: 2817

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 8 and 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Budnik 6,043,707 (Budnik) of record.

All the same reasoning as applied in the rejection of claims 1-4 and 6 above and the following: Clearly, the predistorter of Budnik inputs the data values of the input data stream and the fed-back data values via path 209. While it is clear that some sort of comparison is done on at least one of these signals to determine the envelope magnitude, Budnik is silent on whether both of these values are used to determine the envelope value. It is important to note that in the other feedback path that is connected to element 52, the envelope values are detected via both the input data stream and the fed-back the data values.

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used both the input data stream and the fed-back data values for "the representative means values" i.e. the result of the comparison so as to represent the envelope value as Budnik teaches that the use of both input data stream and the fed-back data is an art recognized equivalent way to sense the envelope magnitude. Note that it is an obvious consequence that the difference values will be transmitted to the adaptive regulator in the above arrangement, just that this transmission would be indirect.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims of record have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Shingleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-1770.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal, can be reached on (571)272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 and after July 15, 2005 the fax number will be 571-273-8300. Note that old fax number (703-872-9306) will be service until September 15, 2005.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,723

Art Unit: 2817

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MBS September 23, 2005

> Michael B Shingleton Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2817